



Plaza East

Chantilly , Virginia

Steven M. Miller
Senior Thesis 2008
Construction Management

Project Overview

Analysis 1: Building
Envelope

Analysis 2: Green
Roof
Implementation

Analysis 3:
Checking Green
Roof Loads

Research:
Implementation of
Software for Steel
Buildings

Q & A

Presentation Topics

**Building Envelope
Investigation**

**Green Roof
Implementation**

New Roof Loads Analysis

**Research Topic: Steel
Erection Software**

Project Overview

Analysis 1: Building
Envelope

Analysis 2: Green
Roof
Implementation

Analysis 3:
Checking Green
Roof Loads

Research:
Implementation of
Software for Steel
Buildings

Q & A

Goals

- 1. Save time and money with new building façade material**
- 2. Use money saved to install a green roof**
- 3. Save energy and money with new building façade material and green roof**
- 4. Inform others of the perks in the paperless process of steel buildings**



Project Overview

Project Overview

Analysis 1: Building Envelope

Analysis 2: Green Roof Implementation

Analysis 3: Checking Green Roof Loads

Research: Implementation of Software for Steel Buildings

Q & A

Basic Project Information:

- 5 stories above grade
- 123,000 sqf per building
- Design-Bid-Build
- Function - General Office Building
- Duration - March 2006 to August 2007
- Cost - \$28 to \$29.5 million
- Total Project Cost \$ 54 million




Project Team:

- Owner - Tishman Speyer Properties
- Architect - Hellmuth, Obata + Kassabaum, P.C.
- Mechanical Contractor - GHT Limited
- Structural Contractor - Smislova, Kehnemui & Associates, P.C.
- General Contractor: James G. DAVIS Construction
- Civil Engineer - VIKA, Inc.

Project Overview

Project Overview



Analysis 1: Building Envelope

Analysis 2: Green Roof Implementation

Analysis 3: Checking Green Roof Loads


Research: Implementation of Software for Steel Buildings

Q & A

Project Overview

Building Envelope

CENTRIA Formawall Dimension Series with Duracast Coating And CENTRIA Versawall with Duracast Coating vs Architectural Precast Concrete



Analysis 1: Building Envelope

Analysis 2: Green Roof Implementation

Analysis 3: Checking Green Roof Loads

Research: Implementation of Software for Steel Buildings

Q & A

Project Overview

Analysis 1: Building
Envelope

Analysis 2: Green
Roof
Implementation

Analysis 3:
Checking Green
Roof Loads

Research:
Implementation of
Software for Steel
Buildings

Q & A

Building Envelope

Architectural Precast

Pros

- Highly Durable
- Good Acoustics
- Molds can be easily replicated
- Usually Cheap

Cons

- Degradation from acid rain and alkali runoff etches glass and deteriorates metals
- Surface Finishes inconsistent
- Accidents usually fatal

CENTRIA Formawall and Versawall

Pros

- Reduces structural requirements
- Reduces installation costs
- Shortens material lead time
- Faster Installation
- Higher R-Value
- LEED Points

Cons

- Plain looking compared to the architectural precast

Project Overview

Analysis 1: Building
Envelope

Analysis 2: Green
Roof
Implementation

Analysis 3:
Checking Green
Roof Loads

Research:
Implementation of
Software for Steel
Buildings

Q & A

Building Envelope

LEED Points

- **Up to 10 for optimizing energy performance**
- **2 for recycling content**
- **2 for low-emitting materials**
 - **Adhesives and Sealants**
 - **Paints and Coatings**



Building Envelope

Area and cost Calculations

Estimated Façade Costs				
	Quantity	Unit	Total Unit Cost	Total Cost
Precast (original)	23,663	sf	--	\$2,600,000.00
Versawall	23,663	sf	30	\$709,890.00
Formawall Dim. Series	23,663	sf	60	\$1,419,780.00

Savings: 4" Versawall with Duracast Finishing

Actual precast cost (not including change orders):

$$\$2,600,000.00 - \$709,890.00 = \mathbf{\$1,890,110.00}$$

Formawall Dimension Series with Duracast Finishing

Actual precast cost (not including change orders):

$$\$2,600,000.00 - \$1,419,780.00 = \mathbf{\$1,180,220.00}$$

Project Overview

Analysis 1: Building
Envelope


Analysis 2: Green
Roof
Implementation

Analysis 3:
Checking Green
Roof Loads

Research:
Implementation of
Software for Steel
Buildings

Q & A

Building Envelope



Project Overview

Analysis 1: Building Envelope

Analysis 2: Green Roof Implementation

Analysis 3: Checking Green Roof Loads

Research: Implementation of Software for Steel Buildings

Q & A

Building Envelope


Top Floor Only

Existing

R-Value of 10 for Walls
R-Value of 18 to 19 for Roof

Formawall and Versawall

R-Value of 20 and 30 for Walls
R-Value of 18 to 19 for Roof



Project Overview

Analysis 1: Building
Envelope

Analysis 2: Green
Roof
Implementation

Analysis 3:
Checking Green
Roof Loads

Research:
Implementation of
Software for Steel
Buildings

Q & A

Building Envelope

Energy Savings

Formawall

1.90 kBtu/ft² Heating
-0.1 kBtu/ft² Cooling
1.8 kBtu/ft² Total

Annual Energy Costs Savings were \$0.021/ft²

Versawall

1.6 kBtu/ft² Heating
-0.3 kBtu/ft² Cooling
-0.1 kBtu/ft² Other
1.2 kBtu/ft² Total

Annual Energy Costs savings were \$0.012/ft²

Project Overview

Analysis 1: Building
Envelope

Analysis 2: Green
Roof
Implementation

Analysis 3:
Checking Green
Roof Loads

Research:
Implementation of
Software for Steel
Buildings


Q & A

Green Roof Implementation

Proposal: Use money saved from different façade to add a Green Roof to help with Energy Savings

Some Green Advantages

- Helps manage storm water – almost 1000 parking spots
- Reduce noise pollution
- Long life span from ultraviolet protection
- Creates habitat for birds and other animals
- Helps with the urban heat island effect



Project Overview

Green Roof Implementation

Top Floor Only

Existing

R-Value of 10 for Walls
R-Value of 18 to 19 for Roof
Solar Absorption 0.6

Green Roof

R-Value of 10 for Walls
R-Value of 30 for Roof
Solar Absorption 0.2

Analysis 1: Building Envelope

Analysis 2: Green Roof Implementation

Analysis 3: Checking Green Roof Loads

Research: Implementation of Software for Steel Buildings

Q & A



Project Overview

Green Roof Implementation

Analysis 1: Building Envelope

Energy Savings

Analysis 2: Green Roof Implementation

Green Roof

4.5 kBtu/ft² Heating
0.1 kBtu/ft² Cooling
0.2 kBtu/ft² Other
4.8 kBtu/ft² Total

Analysis 3: Checking Green Roof Loads

Annual Energy Costs Savings were \$0.003/ft²

Cost of Existing Roof

\$9 per ft² at 20 years life span

Cost of Green Roof

\$8 to \$12 per ft² additional to existing price at 40 to 50 years life span

\$17/ft² x 26000 = \$442,000
\$ 208,000 additional original cost

Research: Implementation of Software for Steel Buildings

Q & A

Project Overview

Analysis 1: Building
Envelope

Analysis 2: Green
Roof
Implementation

Analysis 3:
Checking Green
Roof Loads

Research:
Implementation of
Software for Steel
Buildings

Q & A

Green Roof Implementation

Years needed to make money back?

$$\$442,000 - \$234,000 = \$208,000$$

Annual Energy Cost Savings does not apply

After 20 years build up roof needs replaced:

$$(\$9/\text{ft}^2)(26,000\text{ft}^2)(2^{\text{nd}} \text{ roof}) = \$468,000 > \$442,000$$

Green roof pays for itself after 20 years...

...and lasts up to the next 20 to 30 years

Project Overview

Green Roof Implementation

Individually

-Building façade


Not much energy or cost savings

-Green Roof


Not much energy or cost savings

Decided to combine green roof and new building envelope

Versawall and Green Roof



Formawall and Green Roof



Analysis 1: Building Envelope

Analysis 2: Green Roof Implementation

Analysis 3: Checking Green Roof Loads

Research: Implementation of Software for Steel Buildings

Q & A

Project Overview

Green Roof Implementation

The energy saving conclusion with combined new façade and roof still not great.

Energy Savings from Façade and Green Roof vs Existing (kBtu/sqf)					
	Heating	Cooling	Other	Total Energy Savings	Total Cost Savings (\$/sqf)
Versawall and Green Roof	5.9	-0.2	0.3	6.0	\$0.015
Formawall and Green Roof	6.2	-0.1	0.3	6.4	\$0.028

Analysis 1: Building Envelope

Analysis 2: Green Roof Implementation

Analysis 3: Checking Green Roof Loads

Research: Implementation of Software for Steel Buildings

Q & A

Project Overview

Checking Green Roof Loads (Structural Breadth)

Analysis 1: Building
Envelope

Analysis 2: Green
Roof
Implementation

Analysis 3:
Checking Green
Roof Loads

Research:
Implementation of
Software for Steel
Buildings

Q & A

Additional Load of Green Roof = 37 psf

Extensive not intensive (less of a change)
Sedum and herbs (3" think)
Sedum, herbs, and perennials (5"thick)

Live Load :

35 psf

Dead Loads: $(150 \text{ lb/ft}^3)(5 \frac{1}{2} \text{")}/(12 \text{"/ft}) =$

68.75 psf

Green Roof

37 psf

Snow Load:

27 psf


Total Load:

$$1.2(68.75+37) + 1.6(35) + 27 = 209.9 \Rightarrow \mathbf{210 \text{ psf}}$$

Project Overview

Checking Green Roof Loads

Checking Load Across Roof Slab



Analysis 1: Building Envelope

Analysis 2: Green Roof Implementation

Analysis 3: Checking Green Roof Loads

Research: Implementation of Software for Steel Buildings

Q & A

Project Overview

Analysis 1: Building Envelope

Analysis 2: Green Roof Implementation

Analysis 3: Checking Green Roof Loads

Research: Implementation of Software for Steel Buildings

Q & A

Checking Green Roof Loads

Post Tensioning Girders

PT Girders 5 and 10

- Force is post tension force
 - Divided by 26.5 kips for #
- Tendon Profile Measured from top or bottom of girder
 - A – end of member
 - B – Low or High point
 - C – Low or High point
- If Increase Strength is needed
 - Increase depth or width
 - Add tendons
 - Each tendon is already stretched to maximum

BUILDING 2							
MARK	WIDTH	DEPTH	FORCE (KIPS)	TENDON PROFILE			
				A	B	C	LE
PTTB1	24	12	110	7 1/8	3 1/2	9 7/8	36
PTTB2	24	12	110	9 7/8	4	7 1/8	--
PTTB3	24	12	110	7 1/8	4 1/2	9 7/8	36
PTTB4	24	12	110	9 7/8	5	9 7/8	--
PTTB5	24	12	110	9 7/8	3 1/2	7 1/8	--
PTTB6	24	12	110	9 7/8	5 1/2	9 7/8	--
PTTB7	48	19	500	11 7/8	2 1/8	16 7/8	87
PTTB8	48	19	500	16 7/8	12 1/2	16 7/8	97
PTTB9	48	19	500	16 7/8	2 1/8	11 7/8	--
PTTB10	48	19	530	11 7/8	2 1/8	16 7/8	97
PTTB11	48	19	530	16 7/8	12 1/2	16 7/8	107
PTTB12	48	19	530	16 7/8	2 1/8	11 7/8	--

Project Overview

Analysis 1: Building
Envelope

Analysis 2: Green
Roof
Implementation

Analysis 3:
Checking Green
Roof Loads

Research:
Implementation of
Software for Steel
Buildings

Q & A

Checking Green Roof Loads

Slab Calculations

Biggest Moment was at Exterior Support:

$$-M = 1/12 (210 \text{ psf})(20')^2 = 7,000 \text{ ft-lb}$$

Worst case calls for :

$$[(7 \text{ kips})(12)] / [.9(60)(4.75 - 0.615/2)] = 0.35 \text{ in}^2$$

Existing Reinforcement

$$\#4 @ 15'' = 0.16 \text{ in}^2 < 0.35 \text{ in}^2 \text{ No Good}$$

$$\text{Use } \#5 @ 10'' = 0.37 \text{ in}^2$$

Project Overview

Analysis 1: Building
Envelope

Analysis 2: Green
Roof
Implementation

Analysis 3:
Checking Green
Roof Loads

Research:
Implementation of
Software for Steel
Buildings

Q & A

Checking Green Roof Loads

Rough Estimate of new steel cost from RS Means

$$(\$0.88/\text{lb})(1.03\% \text{ inflation})(2 \text{ years}) = \$0.93/\text{lb}$$

Existing #4 @ 15"

$$(215.5')(12"/15") = 97.2 \text{ bars}, (97 \text{ bars})(214 \text{ ft})(0.668 \text{ lb/ft}) = 13,866.344 \text{ lb}$$

#5 @ 10"

$$(215.5')(12"/10") = 145.8 \text{ bars}, (146 \text{ bars})(214 \text{ ft})(1.043 \text{ lb/ft}) = 32,587.492 \text{ lb}$$

Additional Cost

$$32,587.492 \text{ lb} - 13,866.344 \text{ lb} = 18,721.148 \text{ lb}(\$0.93/\text{lb}) = \mathbf{\$17,410.67}$$

Project Overview

Analysis 1: Building
Envelope

Analysis 2: Green
Roof
Implementation

Analysis 3:
Checking Green
Roof Loads

Research:
Implementation of
Software for Steel
Buildings

Q & A

Conclusions

Using the new façade and green roof can save time, money, and will be better for the environment

End up making more money with new façade using the calculations below

(precast cost – façade cost – green roof – new steel cost = money saved)

Dimension Series:

$\$2,600,000 - \$1,419,780 - \$441,220 - \$17,410.67 = \mathbf{\$721,589.33}$

Versawall:

$\$2,600,000 - \$709,890 - \$441,220 - \$17,410.67 = \mathbf{\$1,431,479.33}$

These money saved along with the minor energy savings proves that this new façade and green roof are a good idea, despite the fact of a different look to the building.

Project Overview

Analysis 1: Building
Envelope

Analysis 2: Green
Roof
Implementation

Analysis 3:
Checking Green
Roof Loads

Research:
Implementation of
Software for Steel
Buildings

Conclusions
Q & A

Implementation of Software for Steel Buildings

CIMsteel Integration Standards is not a program, function, or language

**CIS/2 is a translator or a bridge to help software programs to
communicate**



Project Overview

Analysis 1: Building Envelope

Analysis 2: Green Roof Implementation

Analysis 3: Checking Green Roof Loads

Research: Implementation of Software for Steel Buildings

Q & A

Implementation of Software for Steel Buildings

In 2002 the National Institute of Standards and Technology did a test to show inefficient interoperability increased new construction by \$6.18 per ft²

Totaling \$15.8 billion wasted per year back in 2002

Stakeholder Group	Planning, Engineering, Design Phase	Construction Phase	O&M Phase	Total
Architects and Engineers	\$1,007.2	\$147.0	\$15.7	\$1,169.8
General Contractors	\$485.9	\$1,265.3	\$50.4	\$1,801.6
Specialty Contractors/Suppliers	\$442.4	\$1,762.2	---	\$2,204.6
Owners and Operators	\$722.8	\$898.0	\$9,027.2	\$10,648.0
All Stakeholders (Total)	\$2,658.3	\$4,072.4	\$9,093.3	\$15,824.0

Project Overview

Analysis 1: Building
Envelope

Analysis 2: Green
Roof
Implementation

Analysis 3:
Checking Green
Roof Loads

Research:
Implementation of
Software for Steel
Buildings

Q & A

Implementation of Software for Steel Buildings

Some Advantages of implementing CIMSteel Integration Standards/Version 2 (CIS/2)

- Single model is carried through entire project
- Allows steel to arrive faster
- No 2D drawings or paper involved
- No snail mail involved
- Updates instantly with timestamp
- Links electronic versions of shop drawings to pdf
 - make viewable through web browsers
- Multiple method – to count steel more efficiently
- Can move model into SDS/2 software saving hundreds of hours

SDS/2 Software can detail a steel connection for you

Project Overview

Analysis 1: Building
Envelope

Analysis 2: Green
Roof
Implementation

Analysis 3:
Checking Green
Roof Loads

Research:
Implementation of
Software for Steel
Buildings

Q & A

Implementation of Software for Steel Buildings

Case Studies

Glenn Oaks Campus, New York (2 Middle Schools, 1 High School)

- 3 schools simultaneously built in 18 months
- saved 2 to 3 weeks on steel delivery

Soldier Field, Illinois

- gutted and reconstructed 4 to 6 months less than a normal NFL stadium
- **steel** erection finished 2 weeks ahead of schedule

Presbyterian Hospital, New Mexico

- hospital was kept operational during addition
- fabricator quoted saying, "We saved at least a couple of months as a result"

Project Overview

Analysis 1: Building
Envelope

Analysis 2: Green
Roof
Implementation

Analysis 3:
Checking Green
Roof Loads

Research:
Implementation of
Software for Steel
Buildings

Q & A

Conclusions

Software saves time and money for every project it was used on.

Therefore this software should be incorporated in every project

If technology like this was used on Plaza East the Building Façade Mockup would not have been 4 ½ months past its due date

Weekly meetings could have flowed more smoothly

Project Overview

Analysis 1: Building
Envelope

Analysis 2: Green
Roof
Implementation

Analysis 3:
Checking Green
Roof Loads

Research:
Implementation of
Software for Steel
Buildings

Q & A

Acknowledgements

Tishman Speyer:

Felix Tansil

James G. Davis Construction:

Peter Clark

**Computer Integrated Building
Processes Group (NIST):**

Robert Lipman

Georgia Tech University:

Chuck Eastman

Harmon Inc:

John Myers

Energy 10 Help:

Andy Lau

CENTRIA Architectural Systems:

James Flanagan

Benjamin Marnik

Patti Palombo

Gilbane Building Company:

Dale Kopnitsky

Holbert Apple Associates, Inc:

Richard Apple

Pennsylvania State University:

Robert J. Holland

Dr. John Messner

Dr. M. Kevin Parfait

Dr. David Riley

Project Overview

Analysis 1: Building
Envelope

Analysis 2: Green
Roof
Implementation

Analysis 3:
Checking Green
Roof Loads

Research:
Implementation of
Software for Steel
Buildings

Q & A

Questions?